1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

FRANCISCO SIERRA,

v.

T. HOLMES.

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 1:22-cv-00964-ADA-SAB (PC)

ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO TERMINATE ACTION PURSUANT TO PARTIES' STIPULATION FOR VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL

(ECF No. 26)

Plaintiff Francisco Sierra is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

On May 24, 2023, the parties filed a stipulation to dismiss this action with prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as the case has been resolved in its entirety. (ECF No. 42.)

Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) provides in pertinent part that, "the plaintiff may dismiss an action without a court order by filing . . . a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared. A voluntary stipulation to dismiss an action pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) automatically terminates the action without operation of a court order." <u>Black Rock City, LLC v. Pershing Cty. Bd. of Comm'rs</u>, 637 F. App'x 488 (9th Cir. 2016) (citing <u>Commercial Space Mgmt. Co. v. Boeing Co.</u>, 193 F.3d 1074, 1077 (9th Cir. 1999)). Here, Plaintiff and counsel for Defendant have signed and dated a stipulation to dismiss this action, and filed it with the Court.

## Case 1:22-cv-00964-ADA-SAB Document 27 Filed 05/25/23 Page 2 of 2

In light of parties' stipulation for voluntary dismissal, this action is dismissed with prejudice. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii). Each party is to bear its own litigation costs and attorney's fees.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 25, 2023

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE